

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		`			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,667	01/11/2002	Brian C. Barnes	2000.057000/TT4090	9420	
23720	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			NGUYEN, VAN H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110001011,	17.77012		2194		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 12 42	A					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/044,667	BARNES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	VAN H. NGUYEN	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ne 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•					
·=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	x parte Quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23, 25, and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • •	` '					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2194

3.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-26 are presented for examination.

2. The cross reference related to the application cited in the specification must be updated

(i.e., update the relevant status, with PTO serial numbers or patent numbers where

appropriate, on the amendment filed 6/15/05). Correction is required.

Claims 1-11 and 24 are objected to because the claimed invention reads on a mental

process or the manipulation of an abstract idea. The claim limitations are not explicitly

directed toward steps being implemented on a computer, computer readable medium, or

other statutory device. As such, they could be carried out mentally in conjunction with

pen and paper. The claimed steps do not define a machine or computer implemented

process (see MPEP 2106). Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory

subject mater. (The Examiner suggest Applicant to change "a method" to "a computer

implemented method" in the preamble to overcome the outstanding 35 U.S.C. 101

rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2194

5. Claims 4-5, 8-11, 15, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(i) As to claim 4, the phrase "a secondary table" (line 3) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "a secondary table" recited in claim 1.

Page 3

- (ii) As to claim 4, the phrase "at least one virtual memory table" (line 6) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "at least one virtual memory table" recited in claim 1.
- (iii) As to claim 8, the phrase "a secondary table" (line 9) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "a secondary table" recited in line 4.
- (iv) As to claim 15, the phrase "a virtual memory access table" (line 2) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "at least one virtual memory table" recited in claim 13.
- (v) As to claim 15, the phrase "a secondary table" (line 2) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "a secondary table" recited in claim 13.
- (vi) As to claim 20, the phrase "a secondary table" (line 5) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "a secondary table" recited in claim 17.

Art Unit: 2194

(vii) As to claim 20, the phrase "at least one virtual memory table" (line 8) renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear if it is referring to "at least one virtual memory table" recited in claim 17.

Page 4

5. Dependent claims 5, 9-11, and 21 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their base claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-20, 22, 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKee (US 6,745,307 B2).

#### 8. As to claim 1:

McKee teaches the invention as claimed including a method (e.g., method and system for controlling areas of memory within a computer to routines executing at a specific privilege level; see the Abstract), comprising:

- a. executing a software object (e.g., routines executing; see the Abstract/executing process; col.8, lines 1-5 and col.13, lines 10-13);
- b. establishing a security level for the software object (e.g., specifies the privilege level required of an accessing process; col.8, lines 9-13); and

Art Unit: 2194

Page 5

c. performing a virtual address based memory access (virtual memory address) using the security level (see the virtual memory address discussion, beginning at col.7, line 35), performing the virtual address based memory access comprising using a secondary table (e.g., the virtual page table 602) and at least one virtual memory (e.g., the virtual page table 604) [see fig.6 and the use of multiple tables discussion beginning at col.8, line 46].

#### 9. **As to claim 2:**

McKee teaches using a processor (e.g., the processor; col.7, lines 66-67) to process software code of the software object.

## 10. **As to claim 3:**

McKee teaches assigning a security level relating to a memory access of at least a portion of a memory (e.g., memory access is provided only to routines running at those privilege levels at which a particular region of memory is intended for access; col.13, lines 6-8).

## 11. **As to claim 4:**

McKee teaches:

- a. establishing a secondary table (e.g., the virtual page table 602; see fig. 6);
- b. receiving a memory access request based upon executing of the software object (e.g., the application routine makes a system call which promotes 812 privilege level to privilege level 0; col.9, lines 43-44);
- c. performing the virtual address memory access based upon the memory access request (see the virtual memory address discussion, beginning at col.7, line 35) using the secondary table and at least one virtual memory table (e.g., the virtual

Application/Control Number: 10/044,667 Page 6

Art Unit: 2194

page table 604) [see fig.6 and the use of multiple tables discussion beginning at col.8, line 46]; and

d. accessing a portion of a memory based upon the virtual address memory access (see the virtual memory address discussion, beginning at col.7, line 35).

#### 12. **As to claim 6:**

McKee teaches:

- a. determining at least one security level that corresponds to a segment in the secondary table (e.g., see fig. 7 and the associated text);
- b. verifying a match between an execution security level to a security level associated with a segment being accessed in response to an execution of the object (e.g., col.8, lines 25-45),
- c. determining a virtual memory address based upon the secondary table in response to a match between the execution security level and the security level associated with the segment being accessed (col.8, lines 25-45); and
- d. locating a physical memory location corresponding to a virtual memory address

  (e.g., find a memory page in physical memory corresponding to a virtual memory address; col.8, lines 47-48).

#### 13. **As to claim 7:**

McKee teaches:

a. determining a physical address from the virtual memory table (e.g., the virtual page table 602 includes a bit field 612 indicating whether or not the physical address is valid; col.8, lines 60-62);

Art Unit: 2194

b. determining a segment being executed based upon the physical address (e.g., selects a memory page within physical memory; col.8, lines 62-66); and

Page 7

c. defining a current security level (e.g., the current privilege level; col.9, lines 36-67), based upon the determining of the segment being executed.

#### 14. As to claim 25:

McKee teaches executing a function (e.g., read, write, execute; see col.8, lines 3-24; see also fig.7 and the accompanying text beginning at col.9, line 5) of the object based upon said virtual address based memory.

#### 15. As to claim 12:

Note the rejection of claim 1 above. Claim 12 is the same as claim 1, except claim 12 is an apparatus claim and claim 1 is a method claim.

#### 16. As to claims 17-20, 22, 23 and 26:

Note the rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 25 respectively. Claims 17-20, 22, 23, and 26 are the same as claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 25, except claims 17-20, 22, 23, and 26 are computer readable claims and claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 25 are method claims.

#### 17. As to claim 13:

The rejection of claim 1 above is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, McKee further teaches a processor (e.g., processor 108; fig. 1), a bus (e.g., internal bus 116; col.1, lines 41-42), a memory unit (e.g., memory 110, 112, 114; fig. 1), and a memory access interface (e.g., internal bus 117; fig. 1).

#### 18. **As to claim 14:**

McKee teaches at least one microprocessor (e.g., microprocessors 124-129; fig. 1).

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/044,667

Art Unit: 2194

14 and fig.7) based upon a virtual address (e.g., virtual page address; col.8, lines 47-54); and

d. accessing a portion of a memory based upon the security level and the virtual address (see the virtual memory address discussion, beginning at col.7, line 35)

### 22. As to claims 9-11:

They include the same limitations as claims 2, 3, and 7, respectively, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 23. Claims 5 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 24. Claim 24 appears to be allowable over the prior art of record, subject to the objection above and subject to a final search.

#### Response to Arguments

- 25. Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 26. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that McKee does not teach, disclose, or suggest all of the elements of claim 1 (as amended) of the present invention. For

Art Unit: 2194

example, the virtual memory access called for claim 1 of the present invention calls for performing a virtual address based memory access is based on a secondary table and at least one virtual memory table.

- 27. Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks.
- 28. McKee does teach performing a virtual address based memory access (see the virtual memory address discussion, beginning at col.7, line 35) is based on a secondary table (e.g., the virtual page table 602) and at least one virtual memory table (e.g., the virtual page table 604) [see fig.6 and the use of multiple tables discussion beginning at col.8, line 46].
- 29. Applicant is advised that they are responsible for the entire contents of the cited references and relevant passages other than those cited by the Examiner should be addressed accordingly.
- 30. Accordingly, McKee meets the limitations as broadly claimed by the Applicant.

## Conclusion

- 31. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 32. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/044,667 Page 11

Art Unit: 2194

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 33. Any inquiry or a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)
   272-3765. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM 6:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Friday.
- 35. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756.
- 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 37. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MENG-AY T. AN
SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000